IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE AND THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

WRIT APPEAL NO: 1259 OF 2013

Writ Appeal under clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dt. 28.09.2012 in W.P. No. 30356 of 2012 on the file of the High Court.

Between:

- 1. The Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner Tankbund Road, Hyderabad.
- 2. The Superintending Engineer Special Projects, MCH Building Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.
- 3. The Executive Engineer (SPD-II), MCH Building Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

...APPELLANTS

AND

- M/s.Gammon India Limited, Pavancha, Khammam District Andhra Pradesh Rep. by its Assistant General Manager Mr.T.N.V.S.Ratnaji.
- 2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary Finance and Planning (Works and Projects) Department Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
- 3. The Deputy Financial Adviser And EODS-II, Government Secretariat, Hyderabad.
- The Principal Secretary, Municipal Administration Government of Andhra Pradesh Secretariat, Hyderabad.

5. The Pay and Accounts Officer, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2013(WAMP. NO: 2621 OF 2013)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Order, dated 28-09-2012 in W.P.No.30356 of 2012 passed by this Hon ble Court.

Counsel for the Appellants : SRI C.DAMODAR REDDY, (SC FOR GHMC) (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 : SRI BHASKAR REDDY VEMIREDDY, SENIOR COUNCIL

Counsel for the Respondents No.2to5 : GP FOR FINANCE & PLANNING The Court made the following: JUDGMENT

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE AND THE HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR JUKANTI

WRIT APPEAL No.1259 of 2013

JUDGMENT: (per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Alok Aradhe)

None for the appellants.

Mr. V. Bhaskar Reddy, learned Senior Counsel represents respondent No.1.

2. This intra court appeal has been filed against an order dated 28.09.2012 passed by the learned Single Judge, in Writ Petition No.30356 of 2012.

3. From a perusal of the aforesaid order dated 28.09.2012, it is evident that the same has been passed with the consent of the parties. Therefore, the Writ Appeal against the aforesaid order is not maintainable.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Appeal is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand

closed. There shall be no order as to costs

SD/- CH.VENKATESWARLU DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

 One CC to SRI C.DAMODAR REDDY, (SC FOR GHMC). [OPUC] One CC to SRI BHASKAR REDDY VEMIREDDY, Advocate. [OPUC] Two CCs to GP FOR FINANCE & PLANNING, High Court for the State of

Telangana. [OUT] 4. Two CD Copies.



To.

HIGH COURT

DATED:20/06/2024



JUDGMENT

WA.No.1259 of 2013

DISMISSING THE WRIT APPEAL WITHOUT COSTS

7 Copres