IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No: 1799 of 2023

Contempt Case filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 to punish the Respondent/Contemnor herein for their willful disobedience to the Order dated 05-03-2021 passed in W.A.No.73 of 2021.

Between:

M/s Axis Clinicals Ltd, 1-121/1, Sy.No.66(Part) & 67(Part), Miyapur, Hyderabad - 500 049 Rep. by its Authorised Signatory Mr R. Devananda Reddy

...Petitioner/Petitioner

AND

- 1. Sri G. Raghuma Reddy, Chairman and Managing Director Telangana State Southern Power Distribution Company Limited, 6-1-50, Corporate Office, Mint Compound, Hyderabad 500 063.
- 2. Sri Musharraf Ali Faruqui, Chairman and Managing Director, Telangana State Southern Power Distribution Company Ltd., 6-1-50, Corporation Office, Mint Compound, Hyderabad 500 063.

(R2 is impleaded as per the Court Order dated.20-09-2024 in IA No.1 of 2024 in CC No.1799 of 2023).

...Respondent/Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. Aruva Raghuram Mahadev

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: Mr. Y. Rama Rao and Associates

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.VIJAYSEN REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No.1799 of 2023

ORDER: (Per the Hon'ble the Chief Justice Alok Aradhe)

Mr. Aruva Raghuram Mahadev, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Y.Rama Rao, learned counsel for respondent No.2.

- 2. This contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 05.03.2021 passed in W.A.No.73 of 2021.
- 3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that in compliance of the said order, an amount of Rs.3,71,63,941.00 which is due and payable to the petitioner has been paid.
- 4. However, the aforesaid submission is refuted by learned counsel for the petitioner and it has been urged

that the entire amount due to the petitioner has not been paid.

- 5. Be that as it may, in the absence of any wilful disobedience of the directions contained in the order dated 05.03.2021 passed in W.A.No.73 of 2021, we are not inclined to proceed further with this contempt petition. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such remedy as may be available to it in law with regard to its grievance, if any.
- 6. With the aforesaid liberty, Contempt Case is disposed of.

Miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- I. NAGALAKSHMI DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

1. One CC to Mr. Aruva Raghuram Mahadev, Advocate [OPUC]

2. One CC to Mr. Y. Rama Rao, Advocate [OPUC]

3. Two CD Copies

kam

HIGH COURT

DATED:20/09/2024

ORDER CC.No.1799 of 2023



DISPOSING OF CONTEMPT CASE

5 copped For JUTITEY